



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,697	04/05/2004	Richard Scott Bourgeois	126533-1	9731
6147	7590	10/09/2008	EXAMINER	
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY			CHUO, TONY SHENG HISLNG	
GLOBAL RESEARCH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59				1795
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/09/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com
rosssr@crd.ge.com
parkskl@crd.ge.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/816,697	Applicant(s) BOURGEOIS ET AL.
	Examiner Tony Chuo	Art Unit 1795

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tony Chuo. (3)_____.

(2) Peter Rashid. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 06 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gorbell (US 2003/0077500).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Independent claim 19 was discussed in light of the Gorbell reference. The proposed amendment to claim 19 appears to differentiate over the Gorbell reference. However, further search and/or consideration is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tony Chuo/
Examiner, Art Unit 1795